PATENT

U.S. Serial No.: 10/659,055 Filing Date: September 9, 2003

REMARKS

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** JUL 2 7 2007

Reconsideration is respectfully requested. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24 and 27-31 are pending. Claims 3, 5-6, 9, 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 22-23, 25 and 26 are canceled. Claims 17-18 and 20-21 are withdrawn. New claims 27-31 are added. Claims 16 and 24 are amended. Applicants thank the Examiner for the allowance of claims 1 and 4. Cancellation and amendment of the claims does not affect inventorship.

Applicants have not dedicated or abandoned any unclaimed subject matter and moreover have not acquiesced to any rejections made by the Patent Office. Applicants reserve the right to pursue prosecution of any presently excluded claim embodiments in future continuation and/or divisional applications.

Claim Amendments

Claims 16 and 24 are amended. New claims 27-31 are added. Support is found in the specification, for example, in paragraphs [00186] to [00196].

Objections to the Specification

The specification has been amended to insert sequence identifiers as appropriate, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(d).

Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Written Description and Enablement

Claims 7, 8, 10, 13, 15 and 16, and 24-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description and enablement requirement. Applicants respectfully traverse.

Claims 25 and 26 have been cancelled, thereby rendering the rejections with respect to these claims moot.

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to M.P.E.P. 2163, which states:

PATENT

U.S. Serial No.: 10/659,055 Filing Date: September 9, 2003

The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice.

The Examiner states that:

[T]he claims are directed to a genus of method, i.e., any method that produces a particular crystal. ... [O]nly one crystallization method produced the specific crystal in the application....

Applicants respectfully disagree. Claim 7 as amended recites "a protein that consists of SEQ ID NO:3, and wherein the protein crystal has a crystal lattice in a P2₁ space group and unit cell dimensions, +/- 5%, of a=121.53Å b=124.11Å and c=144.42Å, $\alpha=\gamma=90^{\circ}$, $\beta=114.6^{\circ}$." Thus, it claims a genus method to grow this specific crystal of a protein. As stated in M.P.E.P. 2163:

A "representative number of species" means that the species which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus.

...

What constitutes a "representative number" is an inverse function of the skill and knowledge in the art. Satisfactory disclosure of a "representative number" depends on whether one of skill in the art would recognize that the applicant was in possession of the necessary common attributes or features of the elements possessed by the members of the genus in view of the species disclosed.... Description of a representative number of species does not require the description to be of such specificity that it would provide individual support for each species that the genus embraces.

Moreover, as the Examiner can appreciate, at the beginning of this new millennium, when the instant application was filed, "the skill and knowledge in the art" required for growing crystal had come a long way from the early age of crystallography, or even a decade before then. Kits for large scale screening were widely commercially available, and methods and equipments for robotic large scale screening have been developed by several entities such as the assignee of the instant application, Syrrx Inc. Due to these developments, large scale screening with minimal amount of protein for conditions to grow crystals became routine, particularly in the industry.

A simple search on Google Scholar for references relating to protein crystallization methods yielded in excess of 30,000 hits most of which had a publication date prior to the filing date of the instant application in 2003. A sampling of the references is provided below. Therefore, it is quite clear that the

U.S. Serial No.: 10/659,055 Filing Date: September 9, 2003

level of skill in the art was high, with respect to crystallization methods, at the time the application was filed. Hence, a skilled artisan would have been more than capable of arriving at the conditions for crystallization of a protein consisting of "SEQ ID NO:3" or "residues 39-766 of SEQ ID NO:1."

List of References

High-throughput protein crystallization - RC Stevens - Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol, 2000

Overview of Protein Crystallization Methods- PC Weber - Methods in enzymology, 1997

Comparative studies of protein crystallization by vapour-diffusion and microbatch techniques - NE Chayen - Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 1998

An approach to rapid protein crystallization using nanodroplets - DC Uber, EW Cornell, RA Nordmeyer, WF Kolbe, J Jin - J Appl Crystallogr, 2002

An automated system for micro-batch protein crystallization and screening - NE Chayen, PD Shaw Stewart, DL Maeder, DM Blow - Journal of Applied Crystallography, 1990

Protein crystallization for genomics: towards high-throughput optimization techniques - NE Chayen, E Saridakis - Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography, 2002

Protein Crystallization - SD Durbin, G Feher - Annual Review of Physical Chemistry, 1996

System for Evaluating Protein Crystallization Conditions by Microbatch and Vapor-Diffusion Methods - B Zheng, JD Tice, LS Roach, RF Ismagilov - Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2004

Principles of Protein X-Ray Crystallography- J Drenth - 1999

Screening of protein crystallization conditions on a microfluidic chip using nanoliter-size droplets - B Zheng, LS Roach, RF Ismagilov - J Am Chem Soc, 2003

Protein interactions and crystallization- DF ROSENBAUM, CF ZUKOSKI - Journal of crystal growth, 1996.

Protein Crystallization: Micro Techniques Involving Vapor Diffusion- DR Davies, DM Segal - Methods Enzymol, 1971

As such, at the time the instant application was filed, "the skill and knowledge in the art" had grown into a stage that it was not necessary to disclose each and every condition, foreseeable or unforeseeable, to meet the ""representative number" requirement. Description of a representative number of conditions to grow the claimed specific crystal does not require the description of such specificity each growing condition. The wide range of crystallization conditions disclosed by the present invention is sufficient to provide guidance to further explore for new conditions to grow the claimed crystal.

PATENT

U.S. Serial No.: 10/659,055 Filing Date: September 9, 2003

Applicants further submit that a sufficient "representative number" of conditions - the conditions to grow the specific crystal as recited in claim 7- have been provided by the instant application. The specification discloses that the Applicants have undertaken several methods to obtain conditions that appear to produce precipitate and/or crystals. See paragraphs [0079] and [0081]. These experiments led to a thorough understanding of how crystallization conditions affect DPPIV crystallization, and a series of crystallization conditions were identified that maybe used to for crystals comprising DPPIV. These conditions are summarized in Table 5. The specification further discloses in detail one of the crystals obtained under one of the disclosed conditions. See Example 2. Therefore, the Applicants have disclosed a "representative number" of conditions that can be used to grow the claimed specific crystal.

Thus, at the time of the filing, Applicants not only were in possession of the claimed genus method, but also provided sufficient guidance to enable a skilled artisan to practice the claimed method without undue experimentation. As such, the rejection is improper and should be withdrawn.

Ø1 P.12

PATENT

RECEIVED CENTRAL PAX CENTER JUL 2 7 2007

U.S. Serial No.: 10/659,055 Filing Date: September 9, 2003

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are now in condition for allowance and early notification to that effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner feels there are further unresolved issues, the Examiner is respectfully requested to phone the undersigned at (415) 442-1000.

Respectfully submitted,

سمرر Dated:

Customer Number: 67374 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

One Market, Spear Street Tower

San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 442-1000 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001 By:

Lekha Gopalakrishnan, Reg. No. 46,733

Filed Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.34

On behalf of:

Robin M. Silva, Reg. No. 38,304